

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	F	ILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/609,015		06/27/2003	Jozef Szlufcik	IMEC306.001AUS	IMEC306.001AUS 8356	
20995	7590	01/06/2006		EXAMI	EXAMINER	
		NS OLSON & B	VINH,	VINH, LAN		
2040 MAIN FOURTEE				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
IRVINE, C	IRVINE, CA 92614			1765		
				DATE MAIL ED. 01/06/2006	•	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

			.,				
•	Application No.	Applicant(s)					
Advisory Action	10/609,015	SZLUFCIK ET AL.					
Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief	Examiner	Art Unit					
	Lan Vinh	1765					
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence add	iress				
THE REPLY FILED 06 March 2006 FAILS TO PLACE THIS AP							
<ol> <li>The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on this application, applicant must timely file one of the follow places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notal Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliant time periods:</li> <li>The period for reply expires</li></ol>	wing replies: (1) an amendment, aff ptice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in o ce with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply mo	idavit, or other evider compliance with 37 C	nce, which FR 41.31; or (3)				
no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire le Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 7	ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailing (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE 06.07(f).	g date of the final rejecti E FIRST REPLY WAS F	ion. FILED WITHIN				
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of ex under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b) NOTICE OF APPEAL	tension and the corresponding amount shortened statutory period for reply origing than three months after the mailing da	of the fee. The approprinally set in the final Offi	iate extension fee ice action; or (2) as				
<ol> <li>The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in compfiling the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exte a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed AMENDMENTS</li> </ol>	nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of th					
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection,	but prior to the date of filing a brief,	will not be entered b	ecause				
(a) They raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);							
(b) $\square$ They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below); (c) $\boxtimes$ They are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for							
appeal; and/or	tter form for appeal by materially re	aucing or simplifying	the issues for				
(d) They present additional claims without canceling a	-	ected claims.					
NOTE: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u> . (See 37 CFR 1.1							
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.1		mpliant Amendment	(PTOL-324).				
<ul> <li>Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):</li> <li>Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment canceling the</li> </ul>							
non-allowable claim(s).  7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a)	☑ will not be entered or b) ☐ will	II he entered and an	ovolonation of				
how the new or amended claims would be rejected is pro The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed:		n de entereu anu an e	жріанацон ог				
Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-6,8-15 and 25-33</u> .							
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:							
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE							
<ol> <li>The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, bu because applicant failed to provide a showing of good an was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).</li> </ol>	d sufficient reasons why the affidav	it or other evidence is	s necessary and				
<ol> <li>The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to on showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessar</li> </ol>	overcome <u>all</u> rejections under appea y and was not earlier presented. S	al and/or appellant fa ee 37 CFR 41.33(d)(	ils to provide a 1).				
10. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanatio REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER	n of the status of the claims after e	ntry is below or attach	ned.				
11. The request for reconsideration has been considered bu See Continuation Sheet.	it does NOT place the application in	n condition for allowa	nce because:				
12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s).	(PTO/SB/08 or PTO-1449) Paper N	lo(s)					
13. Other:		$\sim 11$					

Lan Vinh AU 1765

Application No. 10/609,015

## Continuation Sheet (PTO-303)

Continuation of 3. NOTE: The added limitations of "a caustic", "and a thickener", "a water-based etchant", as recited in newly amended claim 1, raise new issues because they change the scope of claim 1 as well as the scope of dependent claims 5, 6, 8, 9-15, 25, 33.

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: The remarks, filed on 3/6/2006, has been considered but does not place the application in condition for allowance. The arguments, presented in the remark, based on the newly amended claim 1 which raise new issue that would require further consideration.